

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

B

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.                           | CONFIRMATION NO.  |  |  |  |
|---------------|----------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 10/03/2003    | Charlotte A. Kensil  | 8449-322-999                                  | 9606  |  |  |  |
| 90 01/11/2005 |                      | EXAM  | EXAMINER  |  |  |  |
|               | KIM, YUNSOO          |   |   |  |  |  |
|               |                      | ARTINIT                                       | PAPER NUMBER  |  |  |  |
| NY 10017      | ·                    |   | THE DRIVEN DER  |  |  |  |
|               | 10/03/2003           | 10/03/2003 Charlotte A. Kensil 690 01/11/2005 | 10/03/2003 Charlotte A. Kensil 8449-322-999  690 01/11/2005 EXAM  KIM, YU |  |  |  |

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  |  | Ar   | oplication No.   |  | Applicant(s)  |                       |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|-----------------------|
|  |  |  | .10  | 0/679,708  |  | KENSIL ET AL.   |                       |
|  | Offic A  | Action Summary   | Ex   | caminer  |  | Art Unit  |                       |
|  |  |  | Υu   | unsoo Kim  |  | 1644  |                       |
| Period f   |  | G DATE of this communica   | tion appears   | sonth c ver  | sheet with the c   | orrespond nce ac  | Idress                |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any | MAILING DA'<br>nsions of time may<br>SIX (6) MONTHS for<br>experiod for reply spond for reply is<br>pre to reply within the<br>reply received by the | TATUTORY PERIOD FOR TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION be available under the provisions of 3 from the mailing date of this communicified above is less than thirty (30) despecified above, the maximum statute e set or extended period for reply will be Office later than three months after stment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATION.<br>37 CFR 1.136(a).<br>cation.<br>ays, a reply withing period will ap<br>by statute, caus | . In no event, however in the statutory minimply and will expire Some the application to | rer, may a reply be tim<br>num of thirty (30) days<br>IX (6) MONTHS from to<br>become ABANDONE | ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of | ly.<br>communication. |
| Status   | •  |  |  |  | •  |   |                       |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive   | to communication(s) filed  | on 19 Novei  | mber 2004.   |  |   |                       |
|  | This action is   |  |  | ion is non-final   | l.   |   |                       |
| 3)□  |  | plication is in condition for cordance with the practice   |  | •  | •  |   | e merits is           |
| Dispositi  | ion of Claims  | •  |  |  |  |   |                       |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□                                | 4a) Of the ab Claim(s) Claim(s) <u>46-</u> Claim(s)  | 65 is/are pending in the apove claim(s) 58-62 is/are volumes. is/are allowed. 57 and 63-65 is/are rejected is/are objected to. are subject to restriction  | vithdrawn fr   |  |  |   |                       |
| Applicati  | ion Papers   |  | •  |  |  |   |                       |
| 9)   | The specifica  | tion is objected to by the E   | xaminer.   |  |  |   |                       |
| 10)  | The drawing(   | s) filed on is/are: a  | ) ☐ accepte  | ed or b)□ obje   | cted to by the E   | xaminer.  |                       |
|  | Applicant may  | not request that any objection   | n to the draw  | ving(s) be held in   | n abeyance. See  | 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                       |
| 11)□   |  | drawing sheet(s) including th<br>eclaration is objected to b   |  | •  |  |   | ` '                   |
| Priority ι                                       | ınder 35 U.S.  | .C. § 119  |  | •  |  |   |                       |
| 12) [ ]<br>a)[                                   | Acknowledgm All b) 1. Certifie 2. Certifie 3. Copies applica   | nent is made of a claim for Some * c) None of: ed copies of the priority do ed copies of the priority do s of the certified copies of ation from the International ed detailed Office action f   | cuments ha<br>cuments ha<br>the priority of<br>I Bureau (Po                                      | ive been receiv<br>ive been receiv<br>documents hav<br>CT Rule 17.2(a                    | ved.<br>ved in Application<br>ve been receive<br>a)).  | on No<br>d in this National                                     | Stage                 |
| Attachmen  | t(s)   | ·  |  |  |  |   |                       |
|  | e of References  |  |  |  | nterview Summary   |   | 60 1                  |
| 3) X Inform                                      |  | n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO<br>e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT<br>e 10/3/03  |  | 5) <u> </u>  | aper No(s)/Mail Da<br>lotice of Informal Pa<br>other:  | te atent Application (PT  | O-152)                |

Application/Control Number: 10/679,708 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicants' amendment filed on 11/19/04 has been entered.

Claims 55-59 have been amended and rejoined for consideration.

Claims 46-65 are pending.

2. Applicants' election with traverse of Group I, claims 46-65 drawn to a method of enhancing an immune response with a composition comprising of antigen, saponin adjuvant and excipient, the elected species of a protein as an antigen and non-ionic surfactant as an excipient is acknowleged.

The restriction is traversed on the basis of MPEP 803.02, the subject matter of the individual species can be examined together in a single application without imposing a serious burden to the examiner. As art read on b-cyclodextrin differs from art read on human serum albumin and art read on lipid differs from art on polynucleotide, the species election is still deemed proper. Therefore, it is made FINAL.

Accordingly, claims 58-62 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species.

Claims 46-57 and 63-65 drawn to a method for enhancing immune response with a composition comprising an antigen, wherein the antigen is a protein, saponin adjuvant, and an excipient, wherein the excipient is a non-ionic surfactant are under consideration in the instant application.

- 3. Applicants' claim for domestic priority under 35.U.S.C. 119 (e) is acknowledged.
- 4. Applicants' IDS filed on 10/3/03 is acknowledged.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/679,708

Art Unit: 1644

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 46-57 and 63-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kensil et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,057,540) in view of Allison et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 4,772,466).

As U.S.Pat. No. 5,057,540, which is incorporated by reference, acknowledges "QA" saponins are equivalent to "QS" saponins, QS saponins from the instant application will be examined as QA saponins from the reference.

Kensil et al. teach an immunogenic composition comprising an antigen, and saponin adjuvants (see col.7 lines 32-64). They further teach the preferred antigen sources are bacteria, virus, and protein (i.e. gp 70), saponin adjuvants are QA-7, QA-17, QA-18, QA-21 or Quil-A (see cols 21-22, Example 14, claims 1-13), administration routes, an individual which can elicit immune response upon administering and concurrent administering of antigen and composition (see col 7, lines 6-7, 14-19, 65-68 and claims 15 and 16).

The claimed invention differs from the reference teachings only by the recitation of excipient, non-ionic surfactant.

However, Allison et al. teach vaccines comprising an antigen, and a polyoxypropylene-polyoxyethylene polymer for immunizing birds and mammals against viruses, bacteria, fungi or parasites. Allison et al. further teach non-ionic surfactants including polysorbate, polysorbate 20, polysorbate 80 (col 6, lines 46-48, in particular) and other sorbitan-based non-ionic surfactants are very useful in vaccine formulations as they increase efficacy of vaccine compositions, and stabilize the emulsion when suspension is formed (see cols 4-6).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the excipient components taught by Allison et al. in the immunogenic composition to enhance the immune response taught by Kensil et al.

Art Unit: 1644

One of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to do so because the teachings of Allison et al. is an obvious way to increase efficacy and stabilize the vaccine formulation of the claimed invention. Thus, it is expected to combine teachings above to enhance the immune response and improve stabilization of vaccine formulation as in claimed invention.

From the combined teachings of references, one of ordinary skill in art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention. Therefore, the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

No claims are allowable.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yunsoo Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8:30 - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on 571-272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yunsoo Kim

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

December 29, 2004

Patrick J. Nolan, Ph.D.

far J-Nr

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600

December 29, 2004